

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/909,729	07/19/2001	Mark C. Bates	AMS-008	2447		
1473	7590 11/20/2002					
FISH & NI		EXAMINER				
1251 AVEN 50TH FLOC	UE OF THE AMERICA OR	S	MENDEZ, MANUEL A			
NEW YOR	K, NY 10020-1105		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3763			
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·				.///			
-4		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comme		09/909,729	9	BATES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE And	Manuel Me	l l	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is r	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-12, 14, and 17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
_	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8, 13,15,16, and 18-28</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election red	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-15				
S. Patent and Tra		tion Summary		Part of Par				

Application/Control Number: 09/909,729

Art Unit: 3763

Election/Restrictions

Claims 9-12, 14, and 17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Calabria. The cited patent discloses a catheter having proximal and distal ends, a distal inlet port, a lateral surface, and a lumen extending between the proximal and distal ends; an occlusive member affixed to the distal end of the catheter; and at least one intake port disposed in the lateral surface proximal to the occlusive member, the blood intake port configured to induce venturi-assisted retrograde flow in a treatment vessel via the distal inlet port.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/909,729

Art Unit: 3763

4 12 1 1

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-8, 13, 15, 16, and 18-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bagaoisan, et al., in view of Muni, et al. The Bagaoisan, et al., patent discloses a catheter having proximal and distal ends, a distal inlet port, a lateral surface, and a lumen extending between the proximal and distal ends; an occlusive member affixed to the distal end of the catheter; and at least one intake port disposed in the lateral surface proximal to the occlusive member, the blood intake port configured to induce venturi-assisted retrograde flow in a treatment vessel via the distal inlet port. Please review figures 8C and 18. Bagaoisan, et al., however, does not disclose the use of a self-expanding woven mesh having a contracted and expandable state. However, such use is conventional as evidenced by the teachings of Muni, et al. The Muni, et al., patent shows in figure 12, the use of a mesh to occlude the vessel. Accordingly, based on the above conventionality, a person of ordinary skill in the art would consider the

Application/Control Number: 09/909,729

Art Unit: 3763

modification of an inflatable occlusive member for a mesh-type occlusive member, an obvious design alternative.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manuel Mendez whose telephone number is 703-308-2221. The examiner can normally be reached on 0730-1800 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3590 for regular communications and 703-305-3590 for After Final communications.

Manuel Mendez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3763

November 18, 2002